

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

ORIGINAL

JUL - 5 1995

July 5, 1995

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission Room 222 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re:

Petition for Rulemaking to Amend Part 32 of the Commission's Rules to Eliminate Detailed Property Records for Certain Support Access; RM 8640

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed herewith for filing are the original and four (4) copies of MCI Telecommunications Corporation's Opposition, regarding the above-captioned matter.

Please acknowledge receipt by affixing an appropriate notation on the copy of the MCI Opposition, furnished for such purpose and remit same to the bearer.

Sincerely yours,

Don Sussman

Regulatory Analyst

Enclosure DHS

No. of Copies rec'd 1

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

JUL - 5 1995

In the Matter of:)	The state of the s
Petition for Rulemaking to Amend)	
Part 32 of the Commission's Rules)	RM 8640
to Eliminate Detailed Property Records)	
for Certain Support Access)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

MCI OPPOSITION

MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI"), pursuant to the Commission's Public Notice released May 10, 1995, respectfully submits its comments in opposition to the Petition for Rulemaking ("Petition") filed by the United States Telephone Association on May 31, 1994. USTA's petition requests that the Commission no longer require LECs to maintain detailed records on over \$15 billion worth of plant and equipment. Specifically, USTA proposes that the Commission amend Part 32 of its rules to eliminate detailed continuing property records ("CPRs") for certain support asset accounts. These support assets include the items in Accounts 2115, Garage work equipment;

¹Petition for Rulemaking, May 31, 1994.

² USTA's Petition addresses 6% to 7% of the gross plant balance. According to Statistics of Common Carriers, Total Telecommunications Plant in Service ("TPIS") as of December 31, 1993 equalled nearly \$258.8 billion.

2116, Other work equipment; 2122, Furniture; 2123, Office equipment; and the personal computers and peripheral equipment in Account 2124, General purpose computers. In place of CPRs for those accounts, USTA proposes that carriers be permitted to use a vintage amortization level ("VAL") property record system. Under this system, the net book value of existing assets in each account would be placed in a VAL group and amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining life that results from the asset life chosen from the Commission approved range of lives. All new purchases would also be placed in a VAL group and amortized in the same manner.

MCI opposes USTA's Petition for several reasons. First, USTA has not provided the Commission with sufficient information to assess the validity of USTA's claim that a disproportionate amount of resources are currently being dedicated to maintaining detailed property records for support assets. USTA baldly asserts that for some LECs, the cost of administering support assets under the current system ranges from 16% to 20% of the total administrative cost of accounting for all plant. USTA has failed to provide any evidence to support its contention.

³USTA Petition at 3-4.

Second, in light of the 100 violations, worth nearly \$75 million,⁴ that were documented in the Commission's recent audit of the Bell Operating Companies,⁵ it seems counter-intuitive for the Commission to grant USTA's request to permit LECs to keep less detailed records. Additionally, an audit team that recently examined the transactions between Ameritech Operating Companies and Ameritech Services Inc. found that, in certain cases, the LEC had failed to provide sufficient written documentation to allow the audit team to analyze and substantiate apportionment of the LEC's costs.⁶ Under the current accounting rules, the audit team found that oral history was often the only source of

⁴See In the Matter of The Ameritech Telephone Operating Companies, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-72, AAD 93-146, released March 3, 1995; In the Matter of The Bell Atlantic Telephone Operating Companies, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-73, AAD 93-147, released March 3, 1995; In the Matter of The BellSouth Telephone Companies, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-74, AAD 93-Operating 148. released March 3, 1995; In the Matter of The NYNEX Telephone Operating Companies, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-75, AAD 93-149, released March 3, 1995; In the Matter of Pacific Bell, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-76, AAD 93-150, released March 3, 1995; In the Matter of Southwestern Bell Company, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-77, AAD 93-151, released March 3,1995; In the Matter of US West Communications, Inc., Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-78, AAD 93-152, released March 3, 1995; In the Matter of GTE Telephone Companies, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-427, released March 3, 1995; and In the Matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Order to Show Cause, FCC 95-31, AAD 93-32, released March 3, 1995.

⁵ At the discretion of the Commission, the National Exchange Carrier's Association, Inc. ("NECA") hired Ernst and Young to conduct an independent audit of carrier-reported adjustments to the Common Line revenue pool for 1988 and the first quarter of 1989.

⁶Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Public Service Commission of Wisconsin and Federal Communications Commission Joint Audit Team, Review of Affiliate Transactions at Ameritech Services, Inc., May 1995.

information. Clearly, if the Commission were to grant USTA's petition, the auditing process would be exacerbated. LEC financial records for more than \$15 billion worth of equipment would become further blurred.

USTA has not demonstrated in its petition that the current cost of administering Part 32 of the Commission's rules is overly burdensome or disproportionately high for the above-referenced equipment. Nor has USTA demonstrated that its proposed rule change is in the public interest. Thus, for the above-mentioned reasons, MCI urges the Commission to deny USTA's Petition for Rulemaking, filed May 31, 1994.

Respectfully submitted,
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

Don Sussman

Regulatory Analyst

1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-2779

July 5, 1995

STATEMENT OF VERIFICATION

I have read the foregoing and, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, there is good ground to support it, and it is not interposed for delay. I verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 5, 1995.

Don Sussman

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-2779

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stan Miller, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Opposition were sent via first class mail, postage paid, to the following on this 5th day of July.

Kathleen Wallman**
Chief, Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 500
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathleen Levitz**
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 500
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Geraldine Matise**
Acting Chief, Tariff Division
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 518
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ann Stevens**
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 518
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

David Nall**
Deputy Chief, Tariff Division
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 518
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Judy Nitsche**
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 514
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Peggy Reitzel**
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 544
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcription Service** 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Debbie Weber**
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
Room 812
2000 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mary McDermott
Vice President & General Counsel
U.S. Telephone Association
1401 H Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

Hand Delivered**

Stan Miller